

Pinched exponential volume growth implies an infinite dimensional isoperimetric inequality

Itai Benjamini Oded Schramm

Abstract

Let G be a graph which satisfies $c^{-1}a^r \leq |B(v, r)| \leq ca^r$, for some constants $c, a > 1$, every vertex v and every radius r . We prove that this implies the isoperimetric inequality $|\partial A| \geq C|A|/\log(2 + |A|)$ for some constant $C = C(a, c)$ and every finite set of vertices A .

A graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ has pinched growth $f(r)$ if there are two constants $0 < c < C < \infty$ so that every ball $B(v, r)$ of radius r centered around a vertex $v \in V(G)$ satisfies

$$cf(r) < |B(v, r)| < Cf(r).$$

For example, Cayley graphs and vertex-transitive graphs have pinched growth.

It is easy to come up with an example of a tree for which every ball satisfies $|B(v, r)| \geq 2^{r/2}$, yet there are arbitrarily large finite subsets of G with one boundary vertex. For example, start with $\mathbb{N}$ (an infinite one-sided path) and connect every vertex n to the root of a binary tree of depth n . This tree does not have pinched growth.

We will see that, perhaps surprisingly, the additional assumption of pinched exponential growth (that is, pinched growth a^r , for some $a > 1$) implies an infinite dimensional isoperimetric inequality. For a set $A \subset V(G)$ of vertices denote by ∂A the (vertex) boundary of A , consisting of vertices outside of A which have a neighbor in A .

Theorem 1. *Let G be an infinite graph with pinched growth a^r , where $a > 1$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every finite set of vertices $A \subset V(G)$,*

$$|\partial A| \geq c|A|/\log(2 + |A|). \tag{1}$$

We say that G satisfies an s -dimensional isoperimetric inequality if there is a $c > 0$ such that $|\partial A| \geq c |A|^{(s-1)/s}$ holds for every finite $A \subset V(G)$. Thus, (1) may be considered an infinite dimensional isoperimetric inequality.

Coulhon and Saloff-Coste [4] proved that when G is a Cayley graph of an infinite, finitely-generated, group, the isoperimetric inequality

$$|\partial A| \geq \frac{|A|}{4m\phi(2|A|)} \quad (2)$$

holds for every finite $A \subset V(G)$, where m is the number of neighbors every vertex has and $\phi(n) = \inf\{r \geq 1 : |B(v, r)| \geq n\}$ (here, $v \in V(G)$ is arbitrary). This result implies Theorem 1 for the case where G is a Cayley graph, even when the upper bound in the pinched growth condition is dropped. The tree example discussed above shows that Theorem 1 is not valid without the upper bound. Thus, the (short and elegant) proof of (2) from [4] does not generalize to give Theorem 1, and, in fact, the proof below does not seem related to the arguments from [4].

It is worthwhile to note that (2) is also interesting for Cayley graphs with sub-exponential growth. For example, it shows that $\mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies a d -dimensional isoperimetric inequality.

Another related result, with some remote similarity in the proof, is due to Babai and Szegedy [1]. They prove that for a finite vertex transitive graph G , and $A \subset V(G)$, $0 < |A| < |G|/2$,

$$|\partial A| \geq |A|/(1 + \text{diam } G).$$

The isoperimetric inequality (1) is sharp up to the constant, since there are groups with pinched growth a^r where (1) cannot be improved. Examples include the lamplighter on $\mathbb{Z}$ [7]. See [6] for a discussion of growth rates of groups and many related open problems.

Regarding pinched polynomial growth, it is known that for every $d > 1$ there is a tree with pinched growth r^d containing arbitrarily large sets A with $|\partial A| = 1$, see, e.g., [3].

Problem 1. *Does every graph of a pinched exponential growth contain a tree with pinched exponential growth?*

In [2] it was shown that every graph satisfying the linear isoperimetric inequality $|\partial A| \geq c |A|$ ($c > 0$) contains a tree satisfying such an inequality, possibly with a different constant. The question whether one can find a

spanning tree with a linear isoperimetric inequality was asked earlier [5]. It follows from Theorem 1 that a tree with pinched exponential growth satisfies the linear isoperimetric inequality. (If a tree satisfies $|\partial A| \geq 3$ for every vertex set A of size at least k , then every path of k vertices in the tree must contain a branch point, a point whose removal will give at least 3 infinite components. Consequently the tree contains a modified infinite binary tree, where every edge is subdivided into at most k edges.) Consequently, Problem 1 is equivalent to the question whether every graph with pinched exponential growth contains a tree satisfying a linear isoperimetric inequality.

As a warm up for the proof of Theorem 1, here is an easy argument showing that when G has pinched growth a^r it satisfies a two-dimensional isoperimetric inequality. Let $A \subset V(G)$ be finite. Let v be a vertex of A that is farthest from ∂A , and let r be the distance from v to ∂A . Note that $B(v, 2r) \subset \bigcup_{u \in \partial A} B(u, r)$. This gives, $a^{2r} \leq O(1) |\partial A| a^r$, and therefore $O(1) |\partial A| \geq a^r$. On the other hand, $\bigcup_{u \in \partial A} B(u, r) \supset A$, which gives $O(1) |\partial A| a^r \geq |A|$. Hence, $O(1) |\partial A|^2 \geq |A|$.

Proof of Theorem. For vertices v, u set $z(v, u) := a^{-d(v, u)}$, where $d(v, u)$ is the graph distance between v and u in G .

We estimate in two ways the quantity

$$Z = Z_A := \sum_{v \in A} \sum_{u \in \partial A} z(v, u).$$

Fix $v \in A$. For every $w \notin A$, fix some geodesic path from v to w , and let w' be the first vertex in ∂A on this path. Let R be sufficiently large so that $|B(v, R)| \geq 2|A|$, and set $W := B(v, R) \setminus A$. Then

$$|\{(w, w') : w \in W\}| = |W| \geq a^R / O(1).$$

On the other hand, we may estimate the left hand side by considering all possible $u \in \partial A$ as candidates for w' . If $w \in W$, then $d(v, w') + d(w', w) \leq R$. Thus, each u is equal to w' for at most $O(1) a^{R-d(v, u)}$ vertices $w \in W$. This gives

$$|\{(w, w') : w \in W\}| \leq O(1) \sum_{u \in \partial A} a^{R-d(v, u)} = O(1) a^R \sum_{u \in \partial A} z(v, u).$$

Combining these two estimates yields $O(1) \sum_{u \in \partial A} z(v, u) \geq 1$. By summing over v , this implies

$$O(1) Z \geq |A|. \tag{3}$$

Now fix $u \in \partial A$, set $m_r := |\{v \in A : d(v, u) = r\}|$, and consider

$$Z(u) := \sum_{v \in A} z(v, u) = \sum_r m_r a^{-r}. \quad (4)$$

For $r \leq \log |A| / \log a$, we use the inequality $m_r \leq |B(u, r)| = O(1) a^r$, while for $r > \log |A| / \log a$, we use $m_r \leq |A|$. We apply these estimates to (4), and get $Z(u) \leq O(1) \log(2 + |A|)$, which gives $Z = \sum_{u \in \partial A} Z(u) \leq O(1) |\partial A| \log(2 + |A|)$. Together with (3), this gives (1). $\square$

Next, we present a slightly different version of Theorem 1, which also applies to finite graphs.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a finite or infinite graph, $c > 0$, $a > 1$, $R \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that $c^{-1} a^r \leq |B(v, r)| \leq c a^r$ holds for all $r = 1, 2, \dots, R$ and for all $v \in V(G)$. Then there is a constant $C = C(a, c)$, depending only on a and c , such that*

$$C |\partial A| \geq |A| / \log(2 + |A|)$$

holds for every finite $A \subset V(G)$ with $|A| \leq C^{-1} a^R$.

The proof is the same. A careful inspection of the proof shows that one only needs the inequality $c^{-1} a^r \leq |B(v, r)|$ to be valid for $v \in A$ and the inequality $|B(v, r)| \leq c a^r$ only for $v \in \partial A$.

Acknowledgements: We thank Thierry Coulhon and Iftach Haitner for useful discussions.

References

- [1] L. Babai and M. Szegedy, Local expansion of symmetrical graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 1 (1992), no. 1, 1–11.
- [2] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm, Every graph with a positive Cheeger constant contains a tree with a positive Cheeger constant. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* vol. 7 (1997), no. 3, 403–419.
- [3] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm, Recurrence of distributional limits of finite planar graphs. *Electron. J. Probab.* 6 (2001), no. 23, 13 pp. (electronic).

- [4] T. Coulhon and L. Saloff-Coste, Isopérimétrie pour les groupes et les variétés. (French) [Isoperimetry for groups and manifolds] *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 9 (1993), no. 2, 293–314.
- [5] W. Deuber, M. Simonovits and V. Sós, A note on paradoxical metric spaces. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 30 (1995), no. 1-2, 17–23.
- [6] P. de la Harpe, Topics in geometric group theory. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000. vi+310 pp.
- [7] R. Lyons, R. Pemantle and Y. Peres, Random walks on the lamplighter group. *Ann. Probab.* 24 (1996), no. 4, 1993–2006.